

# Western Bay Safeguarding Children Board



Terms of reference for Concise child practice review

WB S 37/2017

Scope of Review: 1<sup>st</sup> February 2016 – 28<sup>th</sup> February 2017

Reviewer – Zoe Jones – NPTCBC

Reviewer – Chris Frey Davies – NPTCBC

Chair of Panel – Daphne Rose – Public Health Wales

## Panel Members:

Sue Hurley	-	South Wales Police
Katharine Thomas	-	ABMU
Damien Rees	-	Swansea Council, Child and Family Services
Lisa Collins	-	Swansea Council, Education
Janine Cox	-	Evolve
Kelli Richards	-	Domestic Abuse Hub

## **Western Bay Safeguarding Children Board**

### **Terms of Reference for Concise Review**

#### **Core tasks**

- Determine whether decisions and actions in the case comply with the policy and procedures of named services and SCB.
- Examine inter-agency working and service provision for the children and families.
- Determine the extent to which decisions and actions were child focused.
- Seek contributions to the review from appropriate family members and keep them informed of key aspects of progress. Take account of any parallel investigations or proceedings related to the case.
- Hold a learning event for practitioners and identify required resources.

#### **For extended reviews ONLY.**

#### **In addition to the review process, to have particular regard to the following:**

- Was previous relevant information or history about the child and/or family members known and taken into account in professionals' assessment, planning and decision-making in respect of the child the family and their circumstances? How did that knowledge contribute to the outcome for the child?
- Was the child protection plan (and/or the looked after child plan or pathway plan) robust, and appropriate for that child, the family and their circumstances?
- Was the plan effectively implemented, monitored and reviewed? Did all agencies contribute appropriately to the development and delivery of the multi-agency plan?
- What aspects of the plan worked well, what did not work well and why? To what degree did agencies challenge each other regarding the effectiveness of the plan, including progress against agreed outcomes for the child? Was the protocol for professional disagreement invoked? Were the respective statutory duties of agencies working with the child and family fulfilled?
- Were there obstacles or difficulties in this case that prevented agencies from fulfilling their duties? This should include consideration of both organisational issues and other contextual issues?
- Were the statutory duties of all agencies fulfilled?

## **Specific tasks of the Review Panel**

- Identify and commission a reviewer/s to work with the review panel in accordance with guidance for concise and extended reviews.
- Agree the time frame.
- Identify agencies, relevant services and professionals to contribute to the review, produce a timeline and an initial case summary and identify any immediate action already taken.
- Produce a merged timeline, initial analysis and hypotheses.
- Plan with the reviewer/s a learning event for practitioners, to include identifying attendees and arrangements for preparing and supporting them pre and post event, and arrangements for feedback.
- Ensure your own agencies timeline has been shared with learning event attendees prior to attending the event.
- Plan with the reviewer/s contact arrangements with the child and family members prior to the event.
- Receive and consider the draft child practice review report to ensure that the terms of reference have been met, the initial hypotheses addressed and any additional learning is identified and included in the final report.
- Agree conclusions from the review and an outline action plan, and make arrangements for presentation to the SCB for consideration and agreement.
- Plan arrangements to give feedback to family members and share the contents of the report following the conclusion of the review and before publication.

## **Tasks of the Safeguarding Children Board**

- Consider and agree any Board learning points to be incorporated into the final report or the action plan.
- Review Panel complete the report and action plan.
- SCB send to relevant agencies for final comment before sign-off and submission to Welsh Government.
- Confirm arrangements for the management of the multi-agency action plan by the Review Sub-Group, including how anticipated service improvements will be identified, monitored and reviewed.
- Plan publication on SCB website.

- Agree dissemination to agencies, relevant services and professionals.
- The Chair of the SCB will be responsible for making all public comment and responses to media interest concerning the review until the process is completed.