

SUMMARY TIMELINE TEMPLATE

Year/month	2018					
	July	August	September	October	November	December
Event				<p>1st October – Home visit. No reply. Smashed window noted,</p> <p>2nd October – Home visit by Health visitor. Child D,E, F observed. Mum had attended a doctor’s appointment with Child F cream given for eczema.</p> <p>Child F appeared tired, was dressed appropriately and appeared clean. Rash around mouth.. Bedroom area observed, had a travel cot. The travel cot appeared clean and looked new which was folded away in the corner of the room</p> <p>Child D – Eczema noted and red scalp (slight improvement seen)</p> <p>3rd October -LA2 Childrens Services. Proportionate assessment required to gather information</p> <p>8th October- Tel call with Health visitor. Concern that Child A had been assaulted by Father 2</p>	<p>14th November – LA 2 Care and Support Meeting</p> <p>14th Nov – Multi-Agency Meeting with numerous professionals including parents at child D and E’s primary school</p> <p>26th Nov – Concerns Child D’s head lice and the condition of her scalp.</p> <p>27th Nov – SW Home visit with parents who demonstrated how to treat the head lice</p> <p>29th Nov- Tel call between SW LA2 and Paediatrics Team in LA1 that Child C’s coeliac disease had not been reviewed since moving to LA2.</p>	<p>4th Dec – Info received from Primary school of Child B that school attendance was 75% and that last 3 Fridays had been missed</p> <p>10th Dec – LA1 receive request from Senior SW in LA2 to gather information about previous involvement</p> <p>10th Dec – Letter from Consultant Paed regards Child C concerns re coeliac, vit D deficient and anaemic. Advised of 2 missed appointments</p> <p>20th Dec- Care and Support Meeting</p>

				<p>10 October – Tel call LA 2 with LA 1 Family First- concerns passed that Father 2 had assaulted mother and Father 2 was addicted to solvents and that children were subject to CPR between 2016-2018 prior to move</p> <p>11th Oct– SW and Health visitor joint unannounced visit. Parents refused to allow children to be spoken too alone as per CYPS Assessment. Mum feeling low and depressed due to bot being able to buy Child A birthday presents</p> <p>17th Oct -Home visit. Only Father present. Mother had taken children to LA1.</p> <p>23rd October – Further unannounced joint visit. Mother having difficulties with Child D not letting her comb her hair. Mother wishes to return to LA1</p>		
Outcome/s				<p>Ongoing health advice. Discussed potty training when he shows signs of readiness. Home safety discussed.</p>	<p>Strengths noted by SW</p> <p>Father had arranged GP registration for most of the children. The family are now in receipt of the correct benefits; have support for rent payments;</p>	<p>LA1 provided information to LA 2 and closed the case</p>

					<p>children are attending school and flying start.</p> <p>Deficits noted- Child A not in education and is travelling to LA 2 alone; children's presentation and soiling at school, Child A and B not registered with a GP, children not yet registered with a dentist, Specialist for Child C's coeliac disease is not monitoring.</p>	
				Encouraged to register at Dentist and importance of school attendance	GP contacted. Child C is not registered with the GP Practice. This was confirmed by the Child Health Department. Mother advised to register the remaining four children with the GP as soon as possible.	Strengths and deficits recorded similar to previous month. Child still not in education and Child c needs to be seen by Coeliac specialist
				SW requested previous minutes/plans for family from LA 1		

Year/month	2019					
	January	February	March	April	May	June
Event	<p>7th Jan – SW contacted Hosp and advised Child C still registered and enquiring when consultant could see Child C</p> <p>9th Jan – Health visitor home visit</p> <p>10th Jan – Dental appointments arranged for Children D,E,F</p> <p>11th Jan – LA1 to discuss Child A moving back in care of MGM</p> <p>17th Jan – Child A placement with MGM breaks down</p> <p>22nd Jan - Eviction notice to be handed to Parents for rent arrears. Same day a DV incident occurs between parents</p> <p>29th Jan- Child D concerns raised from Education</p>	<p>1st Feb – Domestic incident resulting in arrest of Father 2 (on 12th Feb) for assault on mother. No complaint forthcoming.</p> <p>5th Feb – LA 2 Care and Support Meeting</p> <p>11th Feb - Information shared about the ongoing neglectful parenting of the children.</p> <p>12th Feb – Father 2 arrested for incident on Feb 1st.</p> <p>Feb 14th - Home visit undertaken. Parents not happy about the need for S47 Investigations with Father becoming verbally abusive towards SW.</p> <p>19th Feb – SW arranged home visit. Altercation witnessed between Child A and Father 2. Child D</p>	<p>6th March – Child C coeliac appointment missed.</p> <p>7th March -SW home visit. Home conditions poor. Four children sharing a double mattress on the floor. The living room door has been nailed shut so no access to clothing for the children. Child A remains in LA1</p> <p>10th March – Police attend following reports of domestic between Father 2 and mother. No offences apparent but Officers were concerned over the state of the property</p> <p>10th March – ICPC</p> <p>14th March – Father arrested for firearms offence at home address. A gun was recovered close by but due to no forensic link he was NFA'd</p> <p>18th March – Core Group</p>	<p>3rd April – SW CP visit. Child A has returned to LA1</p> <p>5th April – SW home visit. Children provided toothbrushes and paste</p> <p>11th April – Core Group</p>	<p>14th May- Health visitor pre-arranged home visit. Child F had an injury to his face having fallen when climbing through the stairs. Dressed in inappropriate clothing (thick winter coat) on warm day.</p> <p>Child E head lice had cleared up but till not yet registered with Dentist.</p> <p>16th May – LA1 local Police Service received concern call from member of public. On attendance the children B, C, D, E & F were spoken too in company of elderly cousin (14) who said they were home schooled. There were concerns raised about their cleanliness and tooth decay</p> <p>22nd May – Core Group held. Same concerns as previous meeting</p> <p>23rd May – Further report to LA1 police service regards children B, c, D, E and F in company with 14yr old cousin during school hours</p>	<p>3rd June – Health visitor visit</p> <p>7th June – Referral to EDT by Primary school Headteacher</p> <p>10th June – Case consultation - Concerns raised by Safeguarding Nurse regards lack of progress. Concerns re: who is caring for the children, Mother had gone back to LA1 without the children, Father was caring for the children and appeared under the influence of substances.</p> <p>10th June (Later that day)- SW's attended the home to find Mother and Father at the address. Father was asked to leave and became verbally abusive, making threats to 'punch him'. Mother reported that she did not go to LA1</p> <p>11th June – RCPC</p> <p>12th June – Child C attends Coeliac appointment with Cons 2</p>

	<p>regarding headlice and red scalp.</p> <p>30th Jan – Confirmation from LA2 to LA 1 that Child A was back in LA2</p>	<p>and E hair crawling with lice and red scalps</p>	<p>19th March – LA2 Case Consultation to establish whether PLO process should commence</p> <p>26th March – Legal surgery</p>			<p>21st June – EWO letter top parent regards Child C school attendance</p> <p>21st June – LA1 Police stop A and discover him in possession of cannabis. He advises he resides between mothers address in LA2 and MGM in LA1</p>
Outcome/s	<p>Home visit arranged next day</p>	<p>No further action taken as mother would not support prosecution. ISD held between police and LA2.</p>	<p>PPN shared. Strategy discussion held with SW and police re home conditions concerns</p>	<p>Financial support explored for family</p>	<p>Health advice provided and encouraged to register at Dentist</p>	<p>CYPS Assessment to be completed and return back to Legal Surgery.</p>
	<p>Home was tidy but pungent smell noted. All children have headlice. Treatments discussed</p>	<p>Strengths recorded as parents welcome support, children registered with GP, school attendance for some children improved.</p> <p>Deficits – Children health needs not being met, advice not being taken on board, missed appointments, Child A not in education and between LA 1 and LA2, DV apparent, children’s presentation often poor, family’s poor financial position and at risk of homelessness</p>	<p>All children registered under category of neglect</p>	<p>Strengths from Core Group – Mother has access to own finance and meeting expectations in relation to housing. Child school attendance is consistent.</p> <p>Risks – Children not meeting educational potential due to low attendance, child suffering ongoing health issues due to missed appointments and advice not being followed, family at risk of homelessness due t mothers choices and priorities, Child A beyond parental control at risk of harm in LA1</p>	<p>Referral made to LA1 Childrens services - Vulnerability Screening Tool received</p>	<p>Joint visit with Police and S47 investigations initiated. RCPC planned for the following day.</p>

	Local education place arranged in LA 1 for Child A	Section 47 Investigations initiated due to ongoing concerns around the neglectful parenting of the children.	Strengths and weaknesses discussed as per similar previous multi-agency meetings		Info shared with LA2	Childrens names remain on CPR
	Unannounced home visit made after school-no response.		It was agreed case progress legal surgery.			PPN shared with LA1
	No further action required by LA1 as Child A was not moving back into the authority		Threshold not met for PLO-continue to support family under the CP Plan.			

Year/month	2019					
	July	August	September	October	November	December
Event	<p>3rd July – Further concerns raised by Headteacher to Education Safeguarding</p> <p>10th July – Joint home visit with SW and school nurse. Child C, D and E present</p> <p>12th July – Core Group</p>	<p>1st August – LA2 Education (ALNSS) email to SW informing that LA1 SEN have no record of Child A</p> <p>5th August – Multi agency meeting arranged by LA2</p> <p>Email sent by SW to LA2 advising mother is desperate to move to</p>	<p>1st September – LA2 Education EMS - Child A recorded as educated in LA1</p> <p>9th Sept – LA1 children’s services have communication with LA2 regards Child A’s move back to LA1</p>	<p>1st October- Home visit by Health visitor- Mum reports no concerns. Children have still not seen the dentist. Children are still being treated for Head lice- Lice and eggs seen on child E, as well as scratching of the head throughout the visit. Maternal grandmother reported by mum to be looking to move to Neath area.</p>	<p>4th November- PLO process commenced</p> <p>11th November Core group</p> <p>15th November Family have moved house- Change of Health visitor as a result – visit undertaken as per Healthy Child Wales Programme.</p>	<p>5th December Rubbish outside home noted by housing</p> <p>10th December Mother disengaged with Domestic abuse support</p> <p>12th December Dental appointment</p> <p>18th December SW informed by school primary age children not in school and Mother had been seen walking past school with no children</p>

	<p>22nd July – Following incidents in the days prior involving child A and mother concerns are raised by police (in LA1)</p> <p>23rd July –LA2 childrens services receive Information from Housing Options in LA1 that mother has presented to them.</p> <p>25th July – Update from LA2 that LA1 have apologised for not supporting Child A whilst he has been residing in their area</p> <p>26th July – LA1 are unable to assist with Housing as LA2 have accepted duty of care.</p> <p>31st July – Health visitor home visit</p>	<p>back to LA1, which is impacting on her engagement with other services, eviction is on 20th August and asking what would be the likely outcome of a homelessness application made in LA1 after that date.</p> <p>7th August – Family return to LA2</p> <p>14th August – Core Group Meeting</p> <p>15th August - Home conditions noted to be poor</p> <p>20th August – Home conditions noted to be very poor</p> <p>22nd August – Anonymous referral regarding concerns and gas canisters at address</p> <p>29th August – Health visitor home visit. Dental appointment missed and no new appointment made</p>	<p>12th September – Multi-agency meeting - Discussions held around the neglectful parenting of the children</p> <p>17th Sept – SW home visit to transport mother to an Audiology appointment however they were unable to attend. Child present stated that Father had attended the family home last night and had assaulted Mother.</p> <p>18th Sept- Core Group</p> <p>23rd Sept – Father 2 is arrested and charged with assault (ABH) and threats to kill mother and remanded in to custody</p> <p>24th Legal Surgery</p>	<p>1st October CP visit by social worker</p> <p>2nd October Housing advised that mother wished to continue with housing request in LA 2 area 7th October Housing in LA 1 area advised mother had changed her mind and that she wished to remain in the area with all children A-F</p> <p>9th October Children B, C, D, E & F all seen by dentist</p> <p>16th October – Core Group Mother present Father 2 on remand for assault of Mother</p>	<p>19th November Review Child Protection Conference</p> <p>21st November Child A found to be in LA area 1 again</p> <p>21st November LA 2 children’s services identified mother in relationship with new partner who was known to Children’s services in another area.</p> <p>29th November Core group held</p>	<p>20th December Unannounced social worker visit</p>
--	---	---	--	--	---	---

<p>Outcome/s</p>	<p>Escalated to LA2 Childrens services team manager and Principal officers</p> <p>Team manager replied and requested 'in such circumstances where they feel children are unsafe in the care of a parent they (school) should contact the police directly.'</p>	<p>Further actions required and further MA meeting in August. Further work with LA1, focus on tenancy and contingency plan for children if no home on return and tenancy support.</p>	<p>Joint visit between LA2 and LA1's Families First to discuss possible options for Child A moving forward.</p>	<p>Ongoing advice from Health visitor re health needs for children</p>	<p>Mother only present, Father 1 did not attend and Father 2 on remand for assault on Mother</p>	<p>Mother spoken to about rubbish and need to address</p>
	<p>Core Group minutes reflect same concerns and strengths identified in previous meeting</p>	<p>Response from LA1 advising that if mother approached LA1 as homeless and still has a duty owed by LA2, they would be advising her that they would not be accepting a duty to her as an applicant cannot have a duty owed in two places.</p> <p>If she presented to LA1 on 20th August and said she had nowhere to stay and didn't have a duty owed to her, they would advise her to return to the property in LA2 until the landlord has applied to court for possession whilst we assessed her application.</p>	<p>Social Worker will take the case back to legal surgery with a view of exploring further legal options</p>	<p>Social worker liaised with Local authority 2 to advised children to remain in LA 1 area, apart from Child A</p>	<p>Updates from previous meeting- remain same, apart from Some health needs being addressed- not all. Interim housing arranged for mother and children. Attendance at school has improved Overall appearance improved Priority risks as previous core group</p>	<p>Social worker to speak with mother to encourage attendance</p>

		There is limited housing stock of the size that the family require.				
	SW (LA2) made arrangements to meet with LA1	The same strengths and risks as identified in previous meetings are discussed.	Mother in attendance at Core group and it was acknowledged that a number of competing demands were being placed upon her. The strengths and risks remain the same as previous core groups	Housing in LA area 1 closed case. This was reopened when notified of changes	Mother had visited father 2 in prison. Home clean and tidy, Child F present, falling asleep with bottle of milk on sofa	Follow up appointment- children have been attending appointments to acclimatise to dental treatment.
	Caseworker was familiar with mother having previously worked with the family and had deemed them as intentionally homeless. Arrears was an issue. Advised they had also been evicted from emergency accommodation. Following day LA1 HOT confirm homeless application made to them and they have accepted a prevention duty to her.	Health advice provided	At legal surgery agreement to commence PLO Process and explore Working Together Service when up and running.	Accompanied by mum and support worker. Rampant caries noted, requiring extractions under General anaesthetic- Oral health and dietary advice given, Referral made for extractions	Viability assessments to be completed on family members. PLO process continues Continued registration under category of neglect	No action noted- unclear what actions from Children's services
				Priority risks- children exposed to harmful behaviours- substance misuse and Domestic abuse Risk to family home- mother to engage with services. Children have unmet health needs, Children's education being neglected- not attending school frequently	Child A referred to LA1 EHCP team	Children present and seen by Social worker. Children seen alone- bedrooms acceptable

				Child A at risk of harm due to lack of parental input/oversight.		
					Home visit undertaken by social worker- no reply	
					Reports raise same concerns as previous core group	

Year/month	2020							
	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August
Event	<p>16th January Social worker made home visit following reports home conditions were deteriorating</p> <p>29th January- Housing report continued deterioration of home conditions- including writing on walls and rubbish building outside house.</p>	<p>3rd February Child E Was Not Brought to dental appointment Also noted children absent from school</p> <p>5th February Core group</p> <p>10th February Father 2 receives a suspended sentence for the</p>	<p>2nd March Parents noted to attend together to social services building- concerns raised they are re-establishing a relationship</p> <p>5th March Core Group meeting</p> <p>16th March- mother not sending children to school due to COVID pandemic.</p>	<p>1st April Core Group</p> <p>27th April Children begin attending "Hub" following concerns raised on 14th and 20th April by Head teacher</p> <p>29th April- Further concerns from "Hub" children asking to take food home as they report to being</p>	<p>4th May Core Group</p> <p>11th May telephone contact with HV- children have sores on body</p> <p>12th May- Review Child Protection Conference</p> <p>14th May- Food parcels had been delivered to family</p>	<p>1st June- Conference chair raises concerns with social work team manager and social worker following review Child protection conference. 12 months of registration and limited improvements noted. Concerns also for child A who is at risk of exploitation and has not been in education</p>	<p>1st July- School report children not in school</p> <p>9th July- missed appointment for immunisations for child F</p> <p>15th July- legal surgery meeting</p> <p>16th July Both school and conference chair raise concerns around drift of case, and no</p>	<p>19th August – Core group meeting held</p> <p>25th August Conference Chair makes contact with Social worker</p>

	29 th January- Health visitor home visit- Housing present at time also.	assault on Mother after pleading guilty. He then attends the home on 12 th February to see children. 18 th and 25 th February Children B, C D & E attend dental appointments 28 th February PLO process continues	25 th March- health visitng and social worker joint home visit 31 st March telephone contact with dentist- dental appointments on hold at this point due to pandemic	hungry at home. Also child F noted to sleep a lot.	but no reply at home. 15 th May Children noted to have cuts and sores when attending “hub”	throughout Child protection processes. 3rd June- Strategy discussion for Child A. Links to criminal activity for child A in LA area 1 10 th June- school “hub” advised children had been left with a neighbour for two days while Mother travelled to LA area 1. 18 th June Health Visitor Home visit- review children’s skin. 25 th June Core Group held	improvements for all children. 27 th July PLO meeting Mother in attendance	
Outcome/s	Social worker advised to make unannounced visit given concerns raised Visit done on 21 st January- disclosures of children being hit/smacked made by children C and D	Unclear if either pieces of information were shared with appropriate professionals.	No noted action following this.	Plans remain the same as previous meetings	Plans remain the same as previous meetings	Social worker to liaise with LA area 1 to support child A as he has been mostly resident there throughout processes. Also to be considered under Resolution of Professional Differences Protocols.	Request social worker home visit as children not seen for some time.	Plans remain the same as previous meetings
	No clear actions after these concerns shared.	Family noted to be in LA area 1- Mother not engaging with Domestic Abuse services, Working Families team and children’s attendance at	Father 2 working with Probation- no reports of Domestic abuse between parents. All other actions as per previous Core group.	Previous concerns reported to Social worker by school- also concerns raised on attendance due to injuries noted to child F – Social worker informed.	Advised to seek medical advice from GP	Information from social worker stated that Legal surgery planned for July	Social worker informed of non-attendance	Concerns raised by Chair plus other professionals around delay indecision making and also lack of progress for the children

		school has deteriorated again.						
	Mum reported to be unreceptive to advice given	No noted action following contact from Father 2	Social worker relayed this information to the school.	Concerns reported to Social worker	Professionals concerned children's needs not being met appropriately, consistently or timely, across health education and at home. Continued registration under category of Neglect PLO continues	Social worker attended home, and children confirmed this information, despite mother denying this. Mother asked Social worker to support her with appropriate arrangements.	Further period of assessment prior to initiating Care Proceedings. Concerns noted that Mother is either not engaging or choosing not to make changes.	
		All children noted to have significant dental caries and noted to be experiencing pain as a result.	No evidence of head lice noted on children D and E. Discussed health measures in place to reduce risk of COVID- social isolation discussed.		Contact made with Social worker and Mother –	Door opened by child F who told Health visitor Daddy is upstairs- this was denied by Mother. Children's skin and scalps still requiring attention. Appropriate advice given.	No noted action following this.	
		Both Mother and Father 2 now involved in PLO process	Child E noted to be in pain particularly on brushing teeth- advised analgesia.		Children have impetigo diagnosed by GP in telephone consultation after Mother encouraged to seek medical attention by school.	Plans remain the same as previous meetings	Ongoing concerns around health and education of children discussed Mother expressing plans to move back to LA area 1.	

Year/month	2020					
	September	October	November			
Event	<p>3rd September Case Supervision Social services – update on case management provided</p> <p>5th September- School noted that children had been taken to LA area 1 despite national lockdown, also injury noted to child D's ear.</p> <p>9th September- Core Group</p> <p>10th September Health Visitor Home visit.</p> <p>11th September Case supervision with Social Work Team manager</p> <p>29th September-999 call to police for Domestic incident</p> <p>29th September – ESO call police to report Child A missing</p>	<p>13th October Contact between LA area 1 and LA Area 2. Request for LA area 1 to visit Child A</p> <p>21st October- Police strategy meeting</p> <p>25th October- Police 999 call</p> <p>28th October- Core Group</p> <p>30th October- HV discussion with Social worker.</p>	<p>3rd November- Review Child Protection Conference.</p> <p>4th November – Clinical Nurse Specialist Safeguarding held discussions around concerns raised by HV under the Resolution of Professional Differences policy with IRO</p> <p>11th November Police from Local Authority area 1 requested a strategy meeting in respect of child A due to concerns of gang affiliation.</p> <p>19th November- Follow up strategy meeting due to further criminal incident involving child A in Local Authority Area 1</p> <p>19th November- Local Authority area 2 informed of significant risk posed by mother's new partner to both mother and the children.</p> <p>30th November- Joint visit by Police and Social services, due to further concerns raised by education regarding home conditions as well as the additional risks raised regarding mother's new partner</p>			

Outcome/s	Social worker to encourage engagement from Father 2 Social worker to transport Child A to school as well as supporting transportation of other children to their school. Mother is declining support at present. Family support network to be explored with Fostering team. PLO extended further until December 2020- felt not enough evidence to issue proceedings at this time.	Single assessment to be carried out by LA area 1 and fed back to LA area 2. Maternal Grandmother known to LA area 1 in respect of other grandchildren	Significant concerns about lack of progress during the 19 months of registration. Multiple unmet health needs for the children. Dental appointments have been missed and extractions planned for children B, C, D & E. School attendance has deteriorated. Lack of stimulation in the home. Further request from HV for Paediatric Overview. Significant risks also noted for Child A. Further Domestic Abuse incidents between parents. Mother's new partner also known to social services, this is a further concern.			
	Information shared with Social services at Core group on 9 th September. EWO to arrange Home visit.	Ongoing concerns for Child A and possible exploitation, due to concerns of associations of Child A Noted professionals reporting family play agencies off each other	IRO agreed to discuss concerns with social worker and Team manager- meeting arranged for 10 th November to instigate Stage 1			
	Mother in attendance and improvements noted in engagement with professionals, however risks remain the same.	Alleged incident involving Mother's new partner. Allegations involving a knife- no disclosures made on police attendance. Incident did not take place in family home	Child A is spending his time in Local Authority area 1 when he is supposed to be residing in Local Authority Area 2 and being subject to Child protection registration in that area. Concerns around gang affiliation and possible CSE- Social worker to instigate section 47 enquiries.			

<p>Home conditions noted Rubbish in the garden but internally things were seen to be basic but tidy. No age appropriate toys noted in lounge area, sheets on windows so no natural light in the room.</p>	<p>HV concerned about long term impacts on children, Raised concerns regarding disguised compliance from mother and requested Health overview- Social worker to consider Medical Overview.</p>	<p>Significant risk of harm for Child A Professionals raised that the CP plan is not working for Child A Head of Service from Local Authority area 1 will speak with Head of Service in Local Authority area 2</p>			
<p>Actions determined prior to next PLO meeting- regular school attendance for children, health needs to be met and structure/routines to be in place at home.</p>		<p>PPP was granted and the children were removed from the property due to Mother's refusal to accept concerns and engage with services and also the poor state of the children. Children accommodated and Child Protection medicals arranged. Criminal investigation commenced for Neglect against Mother.</p>			
<p>Police attendance at property Time of call was 5.40am and children only then going to bed. No offences/assaults disclosed.</p>					
<p>Call noted Child A had not been in education for 16 months Police in LA area 1 and LA area 2 to carry out welfare checks on Child A- log indicated he was located</p>					

	in LA area 1 Closed as not a missing person.					
--	--	--	--	--	--	--